Lord Coe Chair LOCOG One Churchill Place Canary Wharf London E14 5LN

Dear Lord Coe.

We are writing to ask you to take action that will address LOCOG's relationship with the Dow Chemical Corporation in the context of Bhopal. We are aware that LOCOG's partnership with Dow has tainted the London Olympics by association with one of the most egregious cases of corporate negligence in recent history. It has also undermined the victims and their families in their quest for justice.

We believe there are concrete and feasible actions that can be taken that would go a long way towards reversing the damage caused by LOCOG's decision to enter into a contractual relationship with Dow to provide the wrap for the Olympic Stadium. In our view, such actions would have the effect of restoring much of the damage to LOCOG's reputation arising from this partnership, and would offer comfort to the victims and survivors who clearly feel a deep sense of injustice and grievance.

The damage to LOCOG arises not just from its deficient procurement process, but also adoption of Dow's position of distancing the company from responsibility for the events of Bhopal 1984 and for subsequent contamination of the area. In doing so, LOCOG has been taking sides with Dow over outstanding claims against the company that are contested and unresolved. By appearing to make the presumption of Dow's innocence, LOCOG is in effect declaring the victims' case to be unfounded.

We are calling on LOCOG to take the following measures to alleviate the situation:

- Acknowledge that deficiencies in its ethical guidelines existed and, had those deficiencies not existed, the decision on whether to procure the wrap from Dow would have been different;
- 2) Retract public statements it has made affirming that Dow is not responsible for the events of the 1984 Bhopal Disaster and subsequent contamination;
- 3) Apologise to the Bhopal survivors for the damage such statements caused them;
- 4) Revise its ethical policy to develop more rigorous criteria for assessing human rights issues.
- 5) Recommend to the International Olympic Committee (IOC) and to future organisers of Olympic Games that explicit policies are adopted to ensure that human rights are respected with regard to the impacts of such events, including sponsorship arrangements.

I would urge you to give serious consideration to this and would be grateful if you will let me know whether you are prepared to take a fresh path on Dow.

Yours sincerely

MICHAEL ADIANAM king and enjoy-London ■ Sebastian Coe has blocked, and thus ignored, substantial numbers of emails, including ours, sent to him as a result l t of a recent appeal by Amnesty International to undo the damage the London 1 Olympics' contract with the Dow Chemа ical Corporation (which has provided the "wrap" for the Olympic stadium) has caused in the context of the Bhopal (r e disaster. a We, like many others, feel the partli nership with Dow has tainted the h Games, through association with one of d the most egregious cases of corporate h negligence in recent history. Happily Coe cannot block your publication of II this letter, so we wonder if he might If take this opportunity to reply? **Hugh Adams and Rogelio Vallejo** th g(Bristol Cuba, Chile and Venezuela, h 5 et our econo

UK audiences for Latin a